

Commissioner for Patents United States Patent and Trademark Office P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

MAILED

DEC 1 4 2010

MICHAEL P. MORRIS BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM USA CORPORATION 900 RIDGEBURY ROAD P. O. BOX 368 RIDGEFIELD CT 06877-0368

OFFICE OF PETITIONS

In re Application of

Kladders et al.

Application No. 10/757047

Filing or 371(c) Date: 01/14/2004

Attorney Docket Number:

01-1449

DECISION ON APPLICATION

FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT

: : :

This is a decision on the "APPLICATION FOR PATENT TERM ADJUSTMENT," filed October 1, 2010. Applicants submit that the correct patent term adjustment is 1278 days, not 1227 days as calculated by the Office as of the mailing of the initial determination of patent term adjustment. The basis for Applicants' request is (1) an assertion that the Office erred in calculating an adjustment of 1068 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1); (2) an assertion that the Office erred in failing to calculate a reduction of 11 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(b), and (3) the Office will take in excess of three years to issue this patent. The Application for Patent Term Adjustment is properly treated under 37 C.F.R. § 1.705(b).

The Application for Patent Term Adjustment under 37 CFR 1.705(b), as it relates to the assertion that the Office erred in calculating an adjustment of 1068 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1), and an assertion that the Office erred in failing to calculate a reduction of 11 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(b), is **GRANTED**.

The Application for Patent Term under 37 CFR 1.705(b), as it relates to the Office's failure to issue the patent within 3 years of the filing date, the application for patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.705(b) is **DISMISSED as PREMATURE**.

BACKGROUND

The application was filed on January 14, 2004. On July 1, 2010, the Office mailed the Determination of Patent Term Adjustment under 35 U.S.C. 154(b) in the above-identified application. The Notice stated that the patent term adjustment (PTA) to date is 1227 days.

On October 1, 2010, applicants timely submitted the present request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment¹. Applicants assert that the Office erred in calculating an adjustment of 1068 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1), in connection with the mailing of a non-final Office action on April 17, 2007. Applicants provide that the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. § 111(a), and the day after the date that is 14 months after the date on which the application was filed is March 15, 2005. The first Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 was mailed on April 17, 2007, which is 764 days after the date that is 14 months after the date on which the application was filed. As such, Applicants provide that the adjustment pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 1.702(a)(1) and 1.703(a)(1) is 764 days.

Applicants also aver that the Office erred in failing to calculate an adjustment 11 days in connection with the filing of a response to a final Office action mailed October 4, 2007, to which a response was not filed until January 15, 2008, three months and 11 days after the mail date of the final Office action. Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(b), Applicants provide that an 11 day reduction is appropriate.

Applicants do not dispute an adjustment of 165 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(a)(4), or a reduction of 6 days pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(b).

OPINION

Applicants' arguments have been carefully considered. A review of Office records confirm that a first Office action under 35 U.S.C. 132 was mailed on April 17, 2007, 14 months and 764 days after the date on which, the application was filed under 35 U.S.C. § 111(a). The Office agrees that the adjustment pursuant to 37 CFR §§ 1.702(a)(1) and 1.703(a)(1) is 764 days.

Office records also confirm that a final Office action was mailed October 4, 2007, to which a response was filed on January 15, 2008, three months and 11 days after the mail date of the final Office action. Pursuant to 37 CFR 1.704(b), a reduction of 11 days is appropriate.

In view thereof, the correct Patent Term Adjustment at the time of the mailing of the Notice of Allowance is nine hundred twelve (912) days, subject to any terminal disclaimer.

With regard to the argument under 37 CFR 1.702(b), knowledge of the actual date the patent issues is required to calculate the amount, if any, of additional patent term patentee is entitled to for Office failure to issue the patent within 3 years. See § 1.702(b). (This is true even where a request for continued examination (RCE) was filed). The computer will not undertake the § 1.702(b) calculation until the actual date of issuance of the patent has been determined. Likewise, the computer will not calculate any further Office delay under § 1.702(a)(4) or applicant delay under § 1.704(c)(10) until the actual date of issuance of the patent has been determined. As such, the Office can not make a determination on the correctness of the patent term adjustment until the patent has issued.

Requesting reconsideration of the patent term adjustment to be indicated on the patent under 37 CFR 1.705(b) based on the initial determination of patent term adjustment and a projected

¹ Office records show that the Issue Fee payment was received in the Office on October 1, 2010.

issuance date of the patent (or even the filing date of the request for continued examination) is premature. Accordingly, it is appropriate to dismiss as premature such a request.

Rather than file an application for patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.705(b) contesting the 37 CFR 1.702(b) calculation at the time of the mailing of the notice of allowance, applicant is advised that they may wait until the time of the issuance of the patent and file a request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment pursuant to 37 CFR 1.705(d). As the USPTO does not calculate the amount of time earned pursuant to 37 CFR 1.702(b) until the time of the issuance of the patent, the Office will consider any request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment due to an error in the calculation of 37 CFR 1.702(b) to be timely if the request for reconsideration is filed within two months of the issuance of the patent. However, as to all other bases for contesting the initial determination of patent term adjustment received with the notice of allowance, applicant must timely file an application for patent term adjustment prior to the payment of the issue fee².

The Office acknowledges submission of the \$200.00 fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.18(e) for consideration of the application for patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.705(b).

Any request for reconsideration of the patent term adjustment indicated on the patent must be timely filed within 2 months after issuance pursuant to 37 CFR 1.705(d) and **must** include payment of the required fee under 37 CFR 1.18(e).

The Office of Data Management has been advised of this decision. This application is being referred to the Office of Data Management for issuance of the patent.

Telephone inquiries specific to this matter should be directed to Attorney Derek Woods at (571) 272-3232.

Anthony Knight

Director

Office of Petitions

For example, if applicant disputes both the calculation of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.702(a)(1) for Office failure to mail a first Office action or notice of allowance not later than fourteen months after the date on which the application was filed and under 37 CFR 1.702(b) for Office failure to issue a patent within three years of the actual filing date of the application, then applicant must still timely file an application for patent term adjustment prior to the payment of the issue fee to contest the calculation of Office delay in issuing a first Office action or notice of allowance. See 37 CFR 1.705(b) and 35 U.S.C. 154(b)(3)(B). A dispute as to the calculation of the §1.702(a)(1) period raised on request for reconsideration of patent term adjustment under 37 CFR 1.705(d) will be dismissed as untimely filed.

atent Term

Adjustments

PTA/PTE Information Patent Term Adjustment	* Patent Term Extension	
	•	
Application Number*: 10757047 Search	Explanation of PTA Calculation	Explanation of PTE Calculation

PTA Calculations for Application: <u>10757047</u>

Application Filing Date 01/14/2004	OverLapping Days Between (A and B) or (A and C)
Issue Date of Patent	Non-Overlapping USPTO Delays: 1233
A Delays 1233	PTO Manual Adjustment -315
B Delays 0	Applicant Delay (APPL) 6
C Delays 0	Total PTA (days) 912

* - Sorted Column

File Contents History

				1400000
Action Action Reco	rded Action Due	Action	Action Duration Duration Properties Properties Action Properties Action	<u>areni</u> n Num
umber State Onte	Long Date	Code POZ8	Description FTO APPL Action Adjustment of PTA Calculation by PTO 215 0 0 0 0	131900
06 3 12/12/2010 07/01/2010			Mail Notice of Allowance	********
06/29/2010		H/=.	Notice of Allowance Data Verification Completed	70
06/24/2010		IREV	Issue Revision Completed	
06/24/2010		DVER	Document Verification 0	IW
06/24/2010		EX.A	Examiner's Amendment Communication 0	
06/24/2010			Notice of Allowability 0	.22.62
05/25/2010		FWDX	Date Forwarded to Examiner	343277
05/18/2010			THE OF POOLED FAMILY (NEW)	
05/18/2010		A EML_NTR	Response after Non-Final Action Central Notification	
05/17/2010 05/17/2010		MEXIN	Hall Examiner Interview Summary (PTOL - 413)	2.200 projection
05/12/2010			Exeminer Interview Summary Record (PTOL + 413)	
02/18/2010			Electronic Review	VII.
02/18/2010			Email Hottfication 0	
07/18/2010		MCTNF	Mail Non-Final Rejection 0	
02/08/2011			Mon-Final Rejection	
02/04/2010		FWDX	Date Forwarded to Examiner	····
02/04/2010		ABN9	Dishage of and the same and an arrangement of the same and the same an	
02/02/2010		AMSB	Amendment Submitted/Entered with Filing of CPA/RCE Request for Continued Examination (RCE)	
02/02/2010		XT/G	Request for Contained Literature (CC)	Inches of the Co
02/02/2010		BRCE	Workflow Request for BCE Begin 0	<u> </u>
10/27/2009			Electronic Review 0	
10/27/2001			Email Motification	
10/27/2009)	MCTFR	Mail Final Rejection (PTOL - 325)	
10/23/2009		CTFR	Final Rejection	د تېشتند.
09/09/2001		FWDX	Date Forwardor to Expense	*****
07/27/2009		A MCTNF	Response after Non-Final Action Mail Non-Final Rejection	age (Ships)
05/07/2009		MCTNF	Mail Non-rinal Rejection	
03/13/200		FIDC	Rutt-Final Rejection O Finished (initial Data Capture 0	
03/13/200		LET.	Miscellaneous incoming Letter 0	
02/26/2009		FWDX	Date Forwarded to Examiner 0	
02/26/2009			Withdrawal of Notice of Allowance	للكليد
02/06/2009)	EIDC	Export to Initial Data Capture	
02/02/2009		HEX.A	Mail Examiner's Amendment Mail Notice of Allowance 155 45	
02/02/2009			FIRST HOUSE OF AUTOMATICE	
01/30/200		DVER	Issue Revision Completed Document Verification	
01/30/200		N/E	Notice of Allowance Deta Verification Completed	
01/30/200		DOCK	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 0	
01/28/2009		EX.A	Examiner's Amendment Communication	
01/28/2009		CHTA	Notice of Allowability	
01/28/2009		MEXIN .	Hall Examiner Interview Summery (PTOL - 413)	
01/22/2004)	EXIN	Examiner Interview Summary Record (PTOL - 413)	*******
10/10/200		DOCK	Case Docketto de Chambier in 1940	2000
05/14/2004		FWDX	Date Forwarde to Examine	***********
04/21/200		A MCTNF	Response efter Non-Final Action 0	
02/22/200		CTNF	Neo-Final Rejection 0	
01/15/200		FWDX	Date Forwarded to Examiner 0	0-4
01/15/200		AMSB	Amendment Submitted/Entered with Filing of CPA/RCE	
01/15/200		FWDX	Date Forwarded to Examiner 0	
01/15/200		ABN9	Disposal for e RCE / CPA / R129	-
01/15/200		BRCE	Workflow - Request for RCE - Begin 0 Mail Advisory Action (PTG) - 3031	
12/11/200		MCTAV	11011,70117,70101,717,717	200000
12/10/200		CTAY	Advisory Action (Froc-363)	-
11/08/200		FWDX	Date Forwarded to Examiner New or Additional Drawing Filed 0	
11/06/200		A.HE	Amendment after final Rejection 0	7
10/04/200		MCTFR	Mail Final Rejection (FTOL - 326)	
10/01/200		CTFR	Final Rejection 0	III
07/19/200		FWDX	Date Forwarded to Examiner 0	
07/16/200		A	Response after Non-Final Action 0	
04/17/200			Hall Non-Finel Rejection 1968 1	
04/02/200		CTNF	Non-Final Rejection	
01/15/200		RCEX	Request for Extension of Time - Granted	
10/12/200		XT/G	Request for extension of table - Granted Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	and the release
04/19/200		DOCK	Case Docketbed to Examiner in GAU	<u> </u>
02/15/200		DOCK	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU 0	
12/08/200		DOCK	Case Docketed to Examiner in GAU	1.4
11/17/200		IDSC	Information Oisclosure Statement considered 0	
11/17/200		RCAP	Reference Capture on IDS	
.7 11/17/200		M844	Information Disclosure Statement (105) Filed	
11/17/200		WIDS .	Thromation processes statement (195) (1964	
10/02/200		TSSCOMP	IFW TSS Processing by Tech Center Complete Application Return from OIPE,	
05/12/200 05/12/200		ROIPE	Application Return TO DIPE 0	
05/12/200		COMP	Application Is Now Complete	
05/11/200		OIPE	Application Dispatched from OIPE 0	
04/22/200	•	IDSC	Information Disclosure Statement considered	-14-1-
04/22/200	•	RCAP	Reference Capture on IDS 0	· 4 0° -
.7 04/22/200		M844	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	
04/22/200		WIDS	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed 0 Additional Application Filing Fees 0	
04/20/200		ADDFLFEE	Additional Application Filing Fees A statement by one or more inventors satisfying the requirement under 35 USC 115, Oath of the Applic 0	
04/20/200 04/16/200		INCO	A statement by one or more inventors satisfying the requirement under 33 03C 113, out to the apparatus of the satisfying the requirement under 33 03C 113, out to the apparatus of the apparatus	
04/16/200		L194	Cleared by OIPE CSR 0	
63/04/200		CLSS	CASE CLASSIFIED BY OIPE	
01/31/200		SCAN	IFW Scan & PACR Auto Security Review 0	
01/14/200	<u> </u>		Information Disclosure Statement considered	. ::
5.7 01/14/200	4	M844	Information Disclosure Statement (IDS) Filed	
6 01/14/200			Information discresses frost i near	er avá
01/14/200		IEXX	Initial Exem Team nn	